What are the issues regarding leaf blowers?

The Anti-Leaf Blower Advocate’s viewpoint.

First of all, who are these anti-leaf blower activists? For the most part, they are not blue collar working class people. They are movie stars or spouses of movie stars, retired lawyers, and assertive housewives or over exuberant environmentalists. Some are just people with neighbors that run their leaf blowers for the better part of the day and almost every day as well.

I mention this because I am fairly certain that these people are not aware of how important the leaf blower is to the people that use them. To these advocates, leaf blowers are simply a nuisance. They are not likely to be people that take care of their own yards either. Blowers are too noisy and they feel they should not have to listen to them. “Why not simply ban them?” they think. They have no problem imposing their will on their neighbor or the landscape contractor serving their neighbor. My comments about these people are not intended to be demeaning, but in advocating for a total leaf blower ban, they do tend to use a sledge hammer when a carpenter hammer will do. A total ban will...
not sit well with any homeowner that uses a leaf blower, but in the case of the landscaper, it is a more serious problem for it impacts their livelihood.

There are basically three truths about the leaf blower issue:

- One, they have a unique sound, easily identifiable, which differentiates them from other lawn care products. Some of them scream.
- Two, traditional leaf blowers are no louder than any other engine driven lawn care product.
- Three, they are often used without concern for the aggravation they cause to the bystander. In other words, operators can be inconsiderate when it comes to time of use, that is, time of day and duration of use.

Anti-leaf blower activists are typically unaware of what blowers are used for, thinking they should only be used to remove leaves in the fall of the year. They wonder why blowers are needed during the summer months. Well in the summer, they are used to do final cleanup; blowing grass clippings from driveways and sidewalks as well as gathering debris from hedges, bushes and trees. Trees are messy all year round, dropping leaves and small twigs whenever the wind picks up. After a rain or wind storm, blower use is essential. There are jobs where only the leaf blower will work, like removing pine needles or gathering debris from irregular surfaces and hard to reach places such as on brick pavement, landscaping stones, mulch and bark chips, or from behind hedges and under vehicles).

Some are trying to pull the leaf blower into the global warming controversy, which of course has nothing to do with blower sound. These people think since leaf blowers have internal combustion engines, this makes them fair game. Unfortunately they know very little about the leaf blower and speak without knowledge of or regard for facts concerning exhaust emission from these small engines. These are the emission related facts they should be taking into account:

- Gasoline, diesel fuel and wood are all hydrocarbons. Hydrocarbon exhaust emission from leaf blowers is fuel that passes straight through the engine unburned. At one time, two stroke engines had a problem in this area, but this type of emission in small off road engines has been reduced by as much as 90% depending on engine size. The new Standard took effective in January 2005 per EPA and CARB mandates.
- Automobiles emit 230 times more carbon dioxide (CO₂) or greenhouse gases than do leaf blowers. During complete combustion, the burning of hydrocarbon fuel is a process of oxidation, meaning the hydrogen in a hydrocarbon fuel is oxidized to become water (H₂O) vapor and the carbon content becomes CO₂.
- Why does the automobile emit more CO₂ than that of a leaf blower? It’s because on average, cars burn 230 times more fuel in a week’s time per household. Think 18 gallons vs. 10 ounces. In general, all but a very small percentage of the fuel burned turns into these two gasses.
- Some people think a catalyst, a device located in the muffler, is used to reduce greenhouse gas. It does not. It converts unburned hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide (CO) into CO₂ and water. Carbon monoxide is another byproduct of combustion and is the result of incomplete burning of the fuel. Although it constitutes a small percentage of the total
exhaust gas, it is also produced proportional to the amount of fuel burned, which means automobiles generate a great deal more CO than do leaf blowers.

- Some think that only automobiles have catalysts in the exhaust system. Not true. All two stroke engines have catalytic converters. They are proportional in size to the size of the engine.
- A few think that small gasoline engines generate nitrogen oxides (NOx). They don’t. This is developed primarily by an engine with excess oxygen in the combustion chamber, such as that of compression ignited diesels engines.

Anti-leaf blower activists sometimes argue that leaf blowers generate dust. Some go so far as to say they cause asthma in children. Even some doctors are saying that, but these same doctors have no data to substantiate their claim. It’s just a good sales pitch or sound bite. Asthma is caused by the environment, pollen and molds mostly, not the leaf blower or any other mechanical device, including the lawnmower. A leaf blower will only impact the environment within a 25 foot radius of the device.

Regarding dust, where leaf blowers are normally used (sidewalks, driveways, and landscaped surfaces), there is no appreciable dust generated, including PM 10 and PM 2.5. These nearly invisible particles are bonded to larger, heavier particles after they settle to the ground.

Why then do these people make these arguments? It’s because the argument against noise alone is weak and they need a more compelling justification for requesting a city council to ban blowers. Most cities will not ban them because of noise. They have concern over the impact a ban will have on the elderly, the added burden to the landscaper, the added cost maintaining city properties and the difficulty the city will have enforcing such a regulation. Besides, quiet leaf blowers have been available for over 20 years and eventually all the old noisy units will be scrapped. Not all blowers are considered quiet, but all leaf blowers have been sound reduced to a great extent. With blower sound only being an inconvenience to the complainer and with sound being the only real issue, it becomes very difficult to convince a city council to ban blowers.

The determination of anti-leaf blower activists to ban leaf blowers is not to be underestimated. In Sonoma, CA, they flooded local news organizations with letters to the editor and also managed to convince columnists to argue in favor of a blower ban. They even wrote a voter referendum supporting a ban, spiking it with unsubstantiated claims that maligned the blower. What was the result? They won the referendum to ban blowers by the tiny margin of 19 votes, burdening the city with the difficult and costly task of enforcing the ordinance.

If the activist can convince people that blowers harm the environment, they have a stronger case. There is no inclination on their part to even consider an alternative to a ban such as allowing quiet gasoline powered leaf blowers. Electric blowers are sometimes allowed, but that makes no sense since some of them scream louder than old noisy gasoline blowers. They also impact the environment in the same way as do gasoline powered blowers, including exhaust emission. Keep in mind that the electricity they use has to come from somewhere. Power plants are major contributors to the air pollution problem.

Twenty years ago, anti-leaf blower advocates complained that manufacturers were insensitive to leaf blower noise and asked why we have done nothing about it. Well today there are lots of quiet
gasoline powered leaf blowers on the market and still they complain. Now they want silence. What’s next, the lawnmower?

Where does the media stand on the blower issue?

It turns out that in nearly all cases, newspapers and Internet sources will back the anti-leaf blower activist. Many articles are usually written in support when the issue is being addressed by the local city council. Rarely is there ever more than one or two sentences mentioning the impact a ban will have on the landscaper or the home owner, but they will devote 400 to 800 words supporting the opinions of the blower ban advocate. There is no mention of the added cost to the landscape contractor or the impact it will have on his customer. Nothing is said about how older people taking care of their own property may have to let the appearance of their yards deteriorate. No one talks about the fact that homeowners will have to scrap their existing equipment. Rarely will they share that the city will find it very difficult and expensive to enforce such a ban. In their articles, the opinion of the blower user is ignored for the most part.

The Landscape Contractor’s Position

Landscape contractors and the people they hire are down to earth people. They work very hard at their profession. Money is tight. Time is precious. The profession is extremely competitive. Their customers measure the value of their service on cost and quality of work. Brooms and rakes will not meet either of these requirements. When it comes to leaf blowers, a larger and more often noisier blower is a vital necessity. These people can service as many as 20 homes in a given day, sometimes requiring a 12 hour workday. All their equipment is large so they can cover a greater area over a given period of time. A lawnmower with a 60 inch cutting width, even a 72 inch wide deck, is not uncommon. All their equipment makes noise, which leads them to wonder why leaf blowers are such an issue. This complaint makes no sense to them from a practical point of view and when the subject comes up, they only smile and look inquisitively at you as if to say, “What are you talking about?”

There are some contractors, usually those in a community that have already moved toward banning noisy leaf blowers, that believe quiet leaf blowers are not as powerful as are their noisy counterparts. “Big and powerful machines are always noisy”, they say. Big diesel semi trucks, garbage trucks, city busses, race cars, farm tractors, and large motorcycles are just a few examples. To be noisy, in their minds, means to be powerful. So buying a quiet blower means a step down in performance to them. This is not true; never the less, “Quiet” can be a tough sell, unless required by an ordinance.

What Part Does the Operator Play?

The operator’s mindset is an important issue, for at least half of the leaf blower problem rests with the operator, running at inconvenient hours, blowing debris on other people’s property and running them continuously for hours on end. Most of the time, when a blower is being used for several hours on a given property, it is the owner of the property that is the offender. Why? It’s because this
person most likely has a small, inexpensive and underpowered unit that takes several hours to do the job. Yes, even small units can be irritating.

**ECHO’s Response**

Twenty years ago, shortly after I was hired to lead the engineering department at ECHO Inc., I was told of the sound issue surrounding the leaf blower in many cities throughout the country. It seems there were people totally adverse to their use, because of the noise they generated. After studying the issue, I decided to see what if anything could be done to reduce the sound level. My goal was to achieve a 50% reduction in sound. After applying all the techniques I knew of to lower the sound level on an existing design, I was able to reduce it by 75%.

So with considerable excitement I demonstrated the design to our marketing and sales department in anticipation of this design replacing the present product of that size. I wanted to begin a program to reduce the sound level of all our leaf blowers. We could be the leader in the industry, known as the most forward thinking producer of environmentally friendly products. “But wait,” I was told. “It’s a great idea, but although this unit will be put into production as soon as possible, we need to see if it will be well received by our customers before investing any more money in this expensive endeavor”. It was a sound and reasonable business decision, if you know what I mean.

As it turned out, our customers were for the most part indifferent to the improvement. There was little incentive at the time for them to buy into the concept of quiet blowers. What they had was working well and they saw no need to spend the additional money in order to have this feature. Now the cost increase was only $30, but that was considered by most as being too much to pay for no performance improvement, which was how a cost increase was often justified.

Our sales and marketing people then went out to speak with our customers through our distributors and dealers, to point out that there are areas of the country that want to ban leaf blowers because of sound. “One day that could happen in your area if they didn’t do something to reduce noise now.” Most of them just said that this was not likely to happen at their location. So although we as a company wanted to be good neighbors when it came to sound, the typical landscape contractor saw no need to take that step.

We at ECHO were still dedicated to the development of quiet leaf blowers, but our sound reduction program took on a more conservative and cost effective approach, only reducing the sound level of our remaining products in conjunction with other design changes and upgrades over a period of years. Fortunately today, after the investment of millions of development dollars unique to the reduction of sound, ECHO has five quiet leaf blowers or “Low Noise” blowers in the product line, which have a 75% reduction in sound level. They measure 65 dB(A) at 50 feet per the industry Standard for measuring leaf blower sound. In addition, ECHO has four more units that are at 70 dB(A) which is more than a 50% reduction in sound.
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How are most cities resolving the leaf blower issue?

To reduce sound generated by gasoline powered leaf blowers, they create an ordinance limiting blower sound levels to 65 dB(A). A sound label placed on the blower by the manufacturer will indicate its volume level measured at 50 feet per the industry Standard.

To reduce dust generated by blowers, cities prohibit the use of leaf blowers on unstable or untreated surfaces. If the enforcement officer sees dust, the operator is in violation.

To reduce exhaust emission, some communities disallow the use of leaf blowers manufactured prior to January 1, 2005.

To address inconsiderate use, enforcement officers hand out educational fliers or pamphlets to operators that have unacceptable leaf blower etiquette. This information can be placed on your website, made available at local retail outlets, sent to landscaper organizations and mailed to lawn care providers. Anyone may copy and distribute the fliers available at my website or you may copy any part of these fliers in your own unique documentation that includes the rules as stated in your ordinance.

If you are contemplating a leaf blower ban or are an advocate for a ban, please take the time to understand the facts surrounding the issues. This matter may be the most controversial and contentious issue you may ever encounter. Creating an ordinance allowing only quiet gasoline powered leaf blowers will solve the sound issue and will be voluntarily adhered to by both homeowners and yard care providers. A ban will only serve to enrage these people. In the long run, this alternative conscientiously addresses the leaf blower noise issue and will be much better overall for your community.
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